



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,549	10/28/2003	Rycharde Jeffery Hawkes	30018432-2	5467
22879	7590	07/20/2009	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				STEVENS, THOMAS H
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2121				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
ipa.mail@hp.com
jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,549	HAWKES ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
THOMAS H. STEVENS	2121	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) THOMAS H. STEVENS. (3) _____.
 (2) Charles Griggers. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2009

Time: 2:30 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1,9,10,14

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas H. Stevens/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner provided the following proposed amendments claims 1,9,10 and 14 as if they were entered as an examiner's amendment:

Claim 1, line 8 after - network -- the following limitation was added -- to control the articulation of limbs of a body of said creature being modeled using rigid-body dynamics for physical simulation --

Claim 9, line 5 after --complexity --the following was added -- using high and low fidelity that involve sensors and actuators affecting an animat--

Claim 10, line 3, after - model -- , the following was added -- of high-fidelity and low-fidelity simulation in order to model interactions of creatures as well as said creature's behavior within a virtual environment -

Claim 14, line 7, after - simulation--, the following limitation was -- using high and low fidelity that involve sensors and actuators affecting an animat--

Claim 14, line 11, after - version --, the following limitations were added -- in which both portions will run until a condition is reached --.

The attorney of record and the Examiner will converse at a later date after the attorney converses with his clients on these proposed amendments.